

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/551,871 04/18/00 YOSHIDA K 0020-4699P **EXAMINER** QM22/1026 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP HUNTER, A P.O. BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 3711

DATE MAILED: 10/26/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/551,871	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated and the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a name places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Th	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MF	PEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate or originally set in the final Office and	extension ction; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI</li> </ol>	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifyir	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li></ol>	ion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amend	dment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u>		idered but does NOT place	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	у
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	) will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	ind a a a a a a	
10. Other:		of the time the time the time to the time the time to	
	Super	Paul T. Sevell isoly Palant Ensuring	



The term" negative" is defined by the examiner as not being desired to obtain various effects. Therefore, having an outer layer thickness less than 1mm and an inner core surface hardness greater than the inner core center hardness, as indicated by Sugimoto et al., would clearly effect the shot feel and durability of the golf ball. One having ordinary skill in the art would clearly see the applicant's invention as being obvious in light of the Sugimoto et al. reference. For Appeal purposes claims 1-5 stand rejected.